



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,117	09/11/2003	Serap Ayse Savari	3	6834

7590 08/23/2005

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP
Suite 205
1300 Post Road
Fairfield, CT 06824

EXAMINER

JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2819

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/660,117

Applicant(s)

SAVARI, SERAP AYSE

Examiner

Peguy JeanPierre

Art Unit

2819

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed on 7/7/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1, 7, and 13 recite the limitations of "an input string has symbols belonging to a partially cumulative alphabet.." The added limitation is not described in the specification.

A rejection of the claims as understood by the Examiner appears below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-15, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babu et al. (US 20030130855) in view of Yang et al. (USP 6,801,141).

Babu et al. disclose in Figure 1 a compression system that comprises a compression table which can be originated from a cumulative alphabet, that is compressed row-wise where each row represents the input string (154 page 12). The compression method

Art Unit: 2819

uses only a single pass over a sorted (ordered) list of the input string and further employs a Lempel-Ziv compression method to compress the data (122 page 10; 135 page 11). Babu et al. fail to teach a grammar based lossless data compression scheme; that the input string is one or more program instructions or events in a communication network.

Yang et al. disclose a lossless data compression system using context dependent grammar based algorithm. The algorithm of Yang et al. comprises programming instructions suitable in communication network by achieving online compression of web-based data to recover the original input string incrementally. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement in the system of Babu et al. the grammar based compression scheme as taught by Tang et al. to efficiently transform an input sequence associated with specific context into an irreducible context dependent grammar and orderly recover the input sequence in critical applications such as text files, web pages etc...and facilitate interchange between the data or events. Though Babu et al. do not disclose a lexicographic or Foata normal form, it is to be noted that both forms call for ordering/sorting the input string. Hence, they both can be processed in the compression scheme of Babu et al. because of these identical features. It is also be noted that the sorting/ordering of the input string requires the use of a memory to read, write, process the input string as desired.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The added limitation in independent claims 1, 7, and 13, are not clearly

Art Unit: 2819


described in the specification. Hence, the claims of the application are rejected under 112 first paragraph. The claims are now being rejected as understood by The Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 16 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peguy JeanPierre whose telephone number is (571) 272-1803/272-1803. The examiner fax phone number is (571) 273-1803.


Peguy JeanPierre
Primary Examiner